

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/764,499	Applicant(s) NOLAN, THOMAS PATRICK	
	Examiner Kevin M. Bernatz	Art Unit 1773	

All Participants:
Status of Application: allowed

 (1) Kevin M. Bernatz.

(3) _____.

 (2) Bernard Codd.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4 August 2006
Time: AM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

all

Claims discussed:

all

Prior art documents discussed:

all
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner proposed amendments to bring the case into condition for allowance. Applicants counterproposed and agreement was reached on suitable language to bring the case into condition for allowance

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)